

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,075	07/13/2001	Avi Ashkenazi	10466/42 9210	
30313	7590 09/03/2003			
•	ARTENS, OLSON &	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN S' FOURTEENT		CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N		
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	/
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		09/905,075		ASHKENAZI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	·-·			
		Olga N. Chei	mvshev	1646				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u>							
2a)⊠	•—	is action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>				e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,,					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 39-46 and 49-51 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5) Claim(s) <u>44-46 and 49</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-43, 50-51</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	uirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
·								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11	5)	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0				
C Detect and T	adamad. Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/905,075

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 47-48 have been cancelled and claims 39-44 and 49 have been amended as requested in the amendment of Paper No. 13, filed on March 17, 2003. Claims 39-46 and 49-51 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 39-46 and 49-51 are under examination in the instant office action.

- 2. The Text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Any objection or rejection of record, which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on March 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 39-43 and 50-51, as amended, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polypeptide having at least 80% amino acid sequence identity to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 or the mature form thereof, which isolated polypeptide inhibits VEGF stimulated proliferation of adrenal cortical capillary endothelial cells, does not reasonably provide enablement for a polypeptide not identical to at least the mature form of SEQ ID-NO: 2 which does not have this activity for those reasons of record as fully explained in section 7 of Paper No. 10. The specification does not enable any

Art Unit: 1646

person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant traverses the rejection on the premises that "data provided in Example 92 to establish a specific, substantial and credible utility for PRO211 polypeptides [which is] that the claimed polypeptides are associated with the formation or growth of lung or colon tumor" (bottom at page 7 of the Response). This argument has been fully considered but is not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons.

Example 92 of the instant specification discloses an amplification assay, which used genomic DNA samples from primary tumors and tumor cell lines, and data that shows 2-3 fold gene amplification of PRO211 polynucleotide sequence detected in lung and colon tumors. However, the increased copy of DNA does not provide a readily apparent use for the polypeptide, for which no information regarding level of expression, activity or specific role in cancer is provided. 35 USC § 101 clearly states that the invention must be useful in currently available form, which precludes any further experimentation to establish the utility of the claimed invention. Therefore, it is concluded that the only specific, substantial and credible utility of the claimed PRO211 polypeptides is that it inhibits VEGF stimulated proliferation of adrenal cortical capillary endothelial cells, and this function is not recited in claims 39-43 and 50-51, as amended. Thus, based on the information disclosed in the instant specification, as filed, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use polypeptides that are not identical to at least the mature form of SEQ ID NO: 2 and asserted to have a function, for which no functional assay is available.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,075

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant's reference to the Declaration of Goddard (page 8, second paragraph of the Response) is most in view of the absence of the Declaration among the papers submitted with the Response of Paper No. 13.

6. Claims 39-43 and 50-51, as amended, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for those reasons of record in section 8 of Paper No. 10. Applicant submits that "[t]he claims have been amended to recite a biological activity" (bottom at page 8 of the Response). However, the claims, as amended, recite the activity of the polypeptides as "associated with the formation or growth of lung or colon tumor" and this asserted activity clearly lacks support in the instant specification, as filed, see reasons of record in section 5 earlier in the instant office action. Thus, because the claims are directed to polypeptides having 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, or 99% sequence identity with a particular disclosed sequence and require the polypeptides to possess the activity, for which no functional assay is disclosed, the claims are directed to subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Whereas one can readily produce any polypeptide, which is at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, or 99% identical to SEO ID NO: 2, one would have no idea, which of those polypeptides is associated with the formation or growth of lung or colon cancer.

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

7. Claims 44, 45, 46 and 49 are allowable. Claims 39-43 and 50-51 are rejected.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December

Application/Control Number: 09/905,075

Art Unit: 1646

28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original

COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. If this number is out of

service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal

communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-7939. Official papers should

NOT be faxed to (703) 308-7939.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. OC

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6